

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,375	09/04/2001	David Mottier	213396US2	1290
22850	7590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			NGUYEN, HANH N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2662	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SM		
Idroop		
ldress		
ly. ommunication.		
e merits is		
·		
FR 1.121(d). FO-152.		
Stage		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/944,375	MOTTIER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hanh Nguyen	2662					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Se	eptember 2001.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 Interview Summers	(PTO 412)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/21/02.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
S Patent and Timdemark Office							

Art Unit: 2662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 3/21/02 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The form PTO 1449 filed on 3/21/02 fails to include copies of references. Appropriate correction is needed.

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The Abstract filed on 09/04/01 has more than than one paragraphs and an equation.

Applicant is required to amend the Abstract accordingly.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,375

Art Unit: 2662

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- I STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96I), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821I), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (1) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The specification is object because it fails to disclose the Relate Art section and the headings of "Relate Art"; "Summary of Invention"; "Detail description of Invention".

### Claim Objections

Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 14, discloses an equation that does not describe the meaning of parameters in the equation.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,375

Art Unit: 2662

Claim 2, line 7, "a predetermined quantity" is not clearly defined.

Claim 4, line 2, "one of the preceding claims" does not clearly describe the dependency of claim 4.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 15 does not explain the meaning of parameters in the equation.

Claims 2-4 are rejected because they depend on claim 1 respectively.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakoda et al. (Pat. 6,888,789 B1) in view of Schmidl et al. (Pat. No. 6,404,826 B1)

Regarding claims 1-3, Sakoda et al. discloses a method of replacing useful signals (information symbol), in a transmission frame of a multicarrier transmission in the frequency domain by spreading sequences of the OFDM-CDMA, MC-CDMA (receiving apparatus replaces information symbols by pilot symbols for period of time, col.13, lines 42-67); the pilot symbols being used for estimating the channel coeffcients (receiver estimates characteristics of transmission path and correct phase fluctuation of transmission path, col.13, lines 60-67). Sakoda et al. further discloses deducing from the the performance level to be achieved, the signal to noise ratio level introduced by the channel (col.3, lines 50-65). Sakoda et al. does not disclose determining performance level of transmission; deducing the transmission power of the pilot symbols or a single spreading code allocated and on the other hand the increase in power which it is necessary to give to the pilot symbols for the following allocated spreading codes; and determining, at each of the predetermined times, according to the number of spreading codes used at this time, the transmission power of the the pilot symbols

Schmidl et al. discloses the step of determining a performance level to be achieved by the transmission (fig. 1 discloses a power control receiver receiving pilot symbols, measures BER using BER measurment 110, col.1, line 60 to col.2, line 5). The receiver (fig. 1) determines desired transmission power control and transmits the desired TPC to transmitter which adjusts the power to be transmitted (determining/adjusting/increasing power of pilot signal for an allocated code, col.2, lines 10-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the BER measurement and TPC adjustment of Schmidl et al. with Sakoda in order to deducing transmission power of pilot symbols for each allocated code. The benefit of increasing power is to compensate poor quality transmissions when more spreading codes are assigned.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Li et al. (Pat. 6,904,283 B2) discloses MC communications with group-based subcarrier allocation.

Sudo (Pat. 6,625,111 B1) discloses OFDM communication apparatus.

Dent (Pat. 6,680,928 B1) discloses Communications system and Method for multi-carrier orthogonal coding.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272 3092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on 571 272 3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/944,375

Art Unit: 2662

Page 7

MARY EXAMINER